| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/567,311  | KONISHI ET AL.   |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | VISHAL VASISTH  | 1771   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:  |  |
| (1) <u>VISHAL VASISTH</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Jason Voigt</u> .  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 6 January 2011   | Time: <u>3:00p</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  |   |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |   |  |
| Claims discussed:  claims 1, 8, 23 and 28  Prior art documents discussed:   |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: How to make claims commensurate in scope with the data provided in the applicants' specification.   |   |  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | examiner will provide a writte<br>ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /Vishal Vasisth/ 1/14/2011  |   |  |
| $(A_{\underline{i}})$   | pplicant/Applicant's Representat                                | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |